


EEAC Statewide Database

Summary of Stakeholder Process
2013-2014

Database Stakeholder process

- Summer procurement: Energy Platforms
 - Unanimously selected by EEAC subcommittee & PAs
- Sept – April: 8-month stakeholder process:
 - 36 Stakeholder meetings
 - 4 Database working group meetings
 - 6 EEAC sub-committee meetings
- Database Specification finalized but flexible
- Request to DPU on inputs and privacy rules

Proposed Database Design



Database SC - requests for the DPU

Database inputs:

- What data should be included in a statewide database?
 - Site, Project, Measure, Usage
- What are appropriate and feasible schedules to upload data?

Database outputs:

- Publically viewable data: What privacy rules should apply?
 - Appropriate level of aggregation by sector
- Non-public data: Who should have what access?
 - EM&V, EEAC consultants, DPU, DOER,
- Non-public data: What methods of privacy protection?
 - Non-disclosure agreements, customer identifiers removed

Public data privacy rules in other States

Utility Company/Public Utility (Commission)	Account Aggregation Threshold (Number of accounts / maximum % of total energy usage one account can contribute)
Austin Energy (TX)	4/80%
Avista (Washington)	No threshold
Colorado PUC	15/15%
Commonwealth Edison (Illinois)	4
Consolidated Edison (New York)	No threshold
Pepco (District of Columbia)	5
Puget Sound Energy (Washington)	5
Seattle City Light (Washington)	2
PG&E, SCE, SDG&E, and SoCalGas (CPUC)	mix by segment