EEAC Statewide Database

Summary of Stakeholder Process
2013-2014
Database Stakeholder process

• Summer procurement: Energy Platforms
  Unanimously selected by EEAC subcommittee & PAs
• Sept – April: 8-month stakeholder process:
  – 36 Stakeholder meetings
  – 4 Database working group meetings
  – 6 EEAC sub-committee meetings
• Database Specification finalized but flexible
• Request to DPU on inputs and privacy rules
Proposed Database Design

- Individual PA source data
- Statewide & PA Reporting data
- Protected Rich data
- Statewide publicly Reported data
Database SC - requests for the DPU

Database inputs:
• What data should be included in a statewide database?
  – Site, Project, Measure, Usage
• What are appropriate and feasible schedules to upload data?

Database outputs:
• Publically viewable data: What privacy rules should apply?
  – Appropriate level of aggregation by sector
• Non-public data: Who should have what access?
  – EM&V, EEAC consultants, DPU, DOER,
• Non-public data: What methods of privacy protection?
  – Non-disclosure agreements, customer identifiers removed
Public data privacy rules in other States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utility Company/Public Utility (Commission)</th>
<th>Account Aggregation Threshold (Number of accounts / maximum % of total energy usage one account can contribute)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austin Energy (TX)</td>
<td>4/80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avista (Washington)</td>
<td>No threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado PUC</td>
<td>15/15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth Edison (Illinois)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Edison (New York)</td>
<td>No threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepco (District of Columbia)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puget Sound Energy (Washington)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle City Light (Washington)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG&amp;E, SCE, SDG&amp;E, and SoCalGas (CPUC)</td>
<td>mix by segment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>