

DRAFT

October 29, 2014 EEAC Executive Committee Meeting Notes

Attendees: Christina Halfpenny (DOER), Matt Saunders (AG), Amy Boyd (ENE), Elliot Jacobson (LEAN), Emmett Lyne (PAs), Shaela Collins (PAs), Carol White (NGrid), Lisa Shea (NU), Frank Gundal (NU), Eric Belliveau (Consult), Paul Johnson (Greentek), Larry Cretien (MassEnergy), Ian Finlayson (DOER) Lyn Huckabee (DOER), Maggie McCarey (DOER), Alex Pollard

Agenda:

- Upcoming Schedule
- Finalizing the Implementation Updates
- November EEAC schedule

Meeting began at approximately 10:05am

UPCOMING SCHEDULE ISSUES

- 11/5 – Executive Committee meeting where EC will provide feedback to the consultants on the Process Assessment and 2015 engagement plan.
- 11/12 – EEAC meeting has been moved to Wednesday because Tuesday is Veteran's Day.
- 11/19 – Executive Committee meeting is early because the last Wednesday of the month is day before Thanksgiving.
- Steve Venezia is in the process of scheduling meetings for 2015

IMPLEMENTATION UPDATE WRAP UP

- At the last EEAC Larry Chretien asked the Council to take a vote on a sense of the Council relating to follow up on the Implementation Update exercise.
- Since the Council meeting, Halfpenny and Lyne worked to put together a broad document that they hoped would satisfy Larry's desire to provide follow up. They focused on the process for building out new ideas and identifying deliverables. The exchange of information was identified as a challenge.
- The EC then discussed the document. The main points of discussion included:
 - Halfpenny's concern about whether there needs to be a vote (yes, on 11/15 with updated language)
 - Chretien's concern about who is responsible for driving the process among the PAs, Consultants, DOER, and Council and a desire for the Council to have more input.

- Saunders's desire to vote on documents that are actionable and his concern that the document in front of the EC now is not.
- General sense that the document, its purpose, and outcome have turned divisive jeopardizing the collaboration needed for the Council.
- A level of detail is needed in information exchanges. Consultants want information to make recommendations but the level of confidence of PAs in the data requested is often too low for the purposes. Additionally, some PAs track information differently than others, making it difficult to compare statewide.
- What function the document will serve, particularly in light of the process assessment recommendations that are forthcoming. EC recognized that many of their questions of role and outcome surrounding the IU document were likely to be addressed in the PA.
- The EC agreed that the value of the Council arises when it acts with one voice. Consultants and PAs cannot address every individual Councilor's issues individually, particularly when different Councilors often have different priorities.
- The EC agreed that the priority in moving this document forward is preserving the relationship between actors.
- Halfpenny and Boyd agreed to rewrite the IU document preserving the follow up steps on multifamily programs and the migration to LEDs but adjusting the steps needed to address potential C&I shortfalls. They will bring this document back to the EC on 11/5.

NOVEMBER AGENDA

- (10) Updates
- (75) Report from Jonathan Raab on the process assessment and 2015 engagement plan – this will be the last opportunity for Council to weigh in
- (30) Deeper Savings Discussion – with added C&I
- (20) Q3 Report/Q4 Forecast
- (30) Sense of the Council on the Implementation Update – with a vote on changed language
- (10) Break

Meeting adjourned at approximately 12:08pm